FAX TRANSMISSION
DATE: May 3, 2010
PTO IDENTIFIER: Application Number 10/586,128-Conf. #1798 Patent Number
Inventor: Dominique Quesselaire et al.
MESSAGE TO: Examiner Coppola
FAX NUMBER: (571) 270-4922
FROM: OSHA · LIANG LLP
Aly Z. Dossa
PHONE: (713) 228-8600
Attorney Dkt. #: 09669/092001
PAGES (Including Cover Sheet): 4
CONTENTS: Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (3 pages)
Typhodic interview request form (5 pages)
If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by
collect call to sender at (713) 228-8600 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.
This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it
is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any
dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.
OSHA · LIANG LLP
909 Fannin Street, Suite 3500, Houston, Texas 77010 Telephone: (713) 228-8600
100phana (110) 220 000 1 400mmo (110) 220 0110

Doc Code: M865 or FAI.REQ.INTV

PTOL-413A (10-09)
Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.: 10/586,128-Conf. #1798 First Named Applicant: Domini Examiner: J. C. Coppola Art Unit: 3621 Status of Application:							
Tentative Participant							
(1) Examiner Co	ppola	(2) Aly Do	ossa (Reg. No.	63,372)			
(3) Annemarie Vicere (Reg. No. 58,965) (4)							
Proposed Date of Interview: May 7, 2010 Proposed Time: 2:00 PM Eastern							
Type of Interview Requested:							
(1) X Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference							
Exhibit To Be Shown	or Demonstrated:	YES	x NO				
If yes, provide brief d	lescription:						
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	· ·	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rej. 112	13, 14, 16-20						
(2) <u>Rej. 103</u>	13, 14, 16-20	Wilkes, Go	ldthwaite				
(3)							
(4)							
Continuation Sheet Attached							
Proposed Amendment or Arguments Attached							
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:							
Please see attached agenda							
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE:							
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).							
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this							
interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.							
[LODE						
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature							
Aly Z. Dossa Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative							
63,372							
Registration Number, if applicable							

Application No.: 10/586,128 Docket No.: 09669/092001

Agenda for Examiner Interview

Participants:

Examiner Coppola Aly Dossa (Reg. No. 63,372) Annemarie Vicere (Reg. No. 58,965)

Date/Time: May 7, 2010 at 2:00 Eastern (1:00 PM Central). We will call 571-270-3922 on the aforementioned date/time.

During the Examiner interview, we would like to discuss the following:

- Provide a brief overview of the invention with a focus on the limitations shown in bold below.
- Discuss the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Specifically, the Examiner states that it is unclear whether the Applicant is claiming a merchant transaction terminal or a merchant transaction terminal and a virtual terminal server. Applicant respectfully notes that claim 13 clearly claims a merchant transaction terminal in terms of functional language. In other words, the SIM card of the merchant transaction terminal is defined, in part, by what it does (i.e., to communicate with (not a part of) a virtual terminal server). Such claims are not improper under MPEP § 2173.05(g). In other words, similar to the payment card, the virtual terminal server is not claimed as a component of the merchant transaction terminal, but rather further defines the functionality of the functionality of the SIM card of the merchant transaction terminal.
- Discuss the teachings of U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2005/0097015 ("Wilkes") and U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0176134 ("Goldthwaite") as applied to claim 13.
- Discuss any additional amendments if necessary.

Application No.: 10/586,128 Docket No.: 09669/092001

Claim 13 as pending:

13. A merchant transaction terminal comprising:

a human interface module comprising a keypad, a display, a card reader, a first processing unit and an internal bus for connecting the keypad, the display, the card reader and the first processing unit,

wherein the keypad is configured to receive input of an amount of a transaction, and

wherein the card reader is configured to read a payment card to obtain payment card data from the payment card, and

a subscriber identity module (SIM) card comprising a scheduler configured to process the transaction, wherein processing the transaction comprises:

receiving the payment card data and the amount from the human interface

module, and communicating the payment card data and the amount to a virtual terminal server.